

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

KERMIT ZEIG, et al. :  
:  
v. : Misc. No. 2006-0081  
:  
RICHARD S. BASILE :  
:

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Pending before the court is a Motion to Compel Production of Documents filed by Kermit Zeig and Christopher Associates. Petitioners are plaintiffs in a case pending in the Circuit Court for Prince George's County. They seek access to certain personnel and payroll records of a federal employee which are protected by the Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552a. The court concludes that they have made a showing of need for the records, which are specified in a subpoena served on the custodian of records.

The Government has responded to the motion, taking no position on whether the records should be disclosed, but requesting that any disclosure be pursuant to a protective order. Petitioners do not object to the terms as proposed.

Neither Defendant in the state court action nor the employee whose records are sought has appeared in this court, although both have had notice of the pendency of the motion to compel. Petitioners were unable to obtain the employee's consent to disclosure of the records, although they obtained some limited information directly from him.

The court concludes that the need for disclosure outweighs any potential harm to the employee, subject to the terms of the proposed protective order. *See, Lohrenz v. Donnelly*, 187 F.R.D. 1, 8 (D.D.C. 1999)(citing *Laxalt v. McClatchy*, 809 F.2d 885 (D.C. Cir. 1987)). The subpoena seeks "any and all payroll and employment records" of the employee, but the motion states that only "payroll records, including annual base salary and overtime pay from January 2001 to present" are being sought. There is no justification for disclosure of employment records beyond the salary and overtime pay records specified in the motion. Those records are directly relevant to Petitioners' claims pending in state court and no easy or less burdensome alternative to obtain the information appears to exist. The protective order proposed by the government provides for a certain amount of confidentiality appropriate under the circumstances.

Accordingly, the court will enter the order as proposed by the government, with the amendment that only payroll records, including annual base salary and overtime pay from January 2001 to present, be produced.

\_\_\_\_\_  
/s/  
DEBORAH K. CHASANOW  
United States District Judge